

# **Process Improvement Steering Committee**

## **Standby Pay Project Subcommittee**

July 23, 2025; 8:30 a.m.-9:30 a.m. Building 21, Room 110 2408 Courthouse Drive

## **Minutes**

#### **Attendance**

#### **Members Present**

Mike Eason, Bruce Johnson, Donna Turner

#### Member(s) Absent

Stephen Romine

#### **City Staff Present**

Thepiolus Aspiras – City Staff Liaison, Curtis Weaver, Ginny Sosh,

#### **Discussion Items**

## 1. Response Policy and Expectations

- Whether city resource deployment is authorized by department supervisors or the City Manager, and whether activation criteria are set by 311 or individual departments.
- Examine whether a formal response time policy exists or if service level agreements are in place, as public expectations are often implied rather than formally documented in official channels.

### 2. Response Triage: Internal Process

- Clarify who is responsible for initial triage: 311 or the departments, and confirm if the escalation protocol is formally documented.
- Definition of "immediate response" for city staff and the public.

## 3. Standby Pay and Return on Investment

- A financial review of current standby pay arrangements will compare costs with alternative compensation models, such as salary adjustments.
- Public Works' four-day/ten-hour shifts and IT's eight-hour days will be analyzed for their impact on standby liabilities.

•	Service request data from the past three years will be analyzed to identify high-frequency job types (e.g., electrician, plumber) and seasonal trends.
Action Items	
•	Assess current policy on response expectations.

- Clarify triage responsibility VB311 or departments
- Gather standby pay data from the past 3 years
- Identify service request trends, e.g., common job types requiring standby or seasonal trends
- 5. Next meeting TBD

4.

6. Adjournment – meeting adjourned at 9:47 a.m.

Thepiolus (Phil) Aspiras, PhD, Director Office of Performance & Accountability

Ginny Sosh, Analyst Office of Performance & Accountability